DUALITY ON BANACH SPACES AND A BOREL PARAMETRIZED VERSION OF ZIPPIN'S THEOREM.

B. M. BRAGA

ABSTRACT. Let SB be the standard coding for separable Banach spaces as subspaces of $C(\Delta)$. In these notes, we show that if $\mathbb{B} \subset$ SB is a Borel subset of spaces with separable dual, then the assignment $X \mapsto X^*$ can be realized by a *Borel* function $\mathbb{B} \to$ SB. Moreover, this assignment can be done in such a way that the functional evaluation is still well defined (Theorem 1). Also, we prove a Borel parametrized version of Zippin's theorem, i.e., we prove that there exists $Z \in$ SB and a *Borel* function that assigns for each $X \in \mathbb{B}$ an isomorphic copy of X inside of Z (Theorem 5).

1. INTRODUCTION.

These notes mainly deal with two problems, namely, (i) how to obtain the assignment $X \mapsto X^*$ in a Borel fashion, and (ii) how to obtain a Borel parametrized version of M. Zippin's theorem. More precisely, for the duality problem, the dual of each $X \in \text{SD} = \{X \in \text{SB} \mid X^* \text{ is separable}\}$ has an isometric copy in SB. In these notes, we show that the assignment $X \mapsto X^*$ can be obtained by a *Borel* function.

Recall that $\text{SD} = \{X \in \text{SB} \mid X^* \text{ is separable}\}$ is complete coanalytic (hence non Borel). Indeed, there is a Borel map $\Theta : \mathcal{K}([0,1]) \to \text{SB}$ such that $\Theta(K) \cong C(K)$, for all $K \in \mathcal{K}([0,1])$, where $X \cong Y$ means that X is isomorphic to Y (see [Ke], Theorem 33.24). Therefore, as $C(K)$ is an ℓ_1-predual if K is countable, and $C(K)$ is universal for the class of separable Banach spaces if K is uncountable, this gives us a Borel reduction of $\{K \in \mathcal{K}([0,1]) \mid K \text{ is countable}\}$ to SD. As $\{K \in \mathcal{K}([0,1]) \mid K \text{ is countable}\}$ is complete coanalytic (see [Ke], Theorem 27.5), SD is Π_1^1-hard, i.e., every coanalytic set Borel reduces to SD. For a proof that SD is coanalytic and a detailed proof of the arguments above see [Ke], Theorem 33.24.

As SD is non Borel, we have to restrict ourselves to Borel subsets of SD in order to define a Borel function. For a Borel $\mathbb{B} \subset \text{SD}$, we show that there exists a Borel map $X \in \mathbb{B} \mapsto X^\bullet \in \text{SB}$ such that, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$, we have $X^* \equiv X^\bullet$, where $X \equiv Y$ means X is isometric to Y. Moreover, we show that there exists a Borel map

$$(X, x, g) \in \mathbb{A} \mapsto \langle g, x \rangle_X \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\mathbb{A} = \{(X, x, g) \in \mathbb{B} \times C(\Delta) \times C(\Delta) \mid x \in X, g \in X^\bullet\}$, that works as the functional evaluation. Precisely, we prove:

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbb{B} \subset SD$ be Borel. There exists a Borel map $\mathbb{B} \to SB$, $X \mapsto X^\bullet$, such that $X^\bullet \equiv X^*$, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$. Moreover, let*

$$\mathbb{A} = \{(X, x, g) \in \mathbb{B} \times C(\Delta) \times C(\Delta) \mid x \in X, g \in X^{\bullet}\}.$$

Then there exists a Borel map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\cdot)} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that, for each* $X \in \mathbb{B}$,

(i) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ *is bilinear and norm continuous, and*

(ii) $g \in X^{\bullet} \mapsto \langle g, \cdot \rangle_X \in X^*$ *is a surjective linear isometry.*

This result is related and can be seen as an extension of the following theorem due P. Dodos (see [D2]).

Theorem 2. *(Dodos, 2010) Say* $SD = \{X \in SB \mid X^* \text{ is separable}\}$, *and let* $\mathbb{A} \subset SD$ *be analytic. Let* $\mathbb{A}^* = \{X \in SB \mid \exists Y \in \mathbb{A}, Y^* \cong X\}$. *Then* $\mathbb{A}^*$ *is analytic.*

As SD is coanalytic, if $\mathbb{A} \subset$ SD is analytic, Lusin's separation theorem says that there exists a Borel set $\mathbb{B} \subset$ SD with $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{B}$. Apply Theorem 1 to this $\mathbb{B}$, and notice that $\mathbb{A}^* = \{X \in SB \mid \exists Y \in \mathbb{A}, \ Y^{\bullet} \cong X\}$. Therefore, as the isomorphism relation $\cong \subset SB \times SB$ is analytic, Theorem 2 can be obtained from Theorem 1.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we proceed as follows. Fix a Borel $\mathbb{B} \subset$ SD. First, for $X \in \mathbb{B}$, we code the unit ball of the dual of X by a subset of $B_{\ell_{\infty}}$ (see Lemma 9). We refer to this coding as *Dodos' coding* (the reader can read more about it in [D2]). Using the main technical result of [D2] (for a precise statement, see Lemma 10 below), we code the unit ball of the bidual of X as a subset of $B_{\ell_{\infty}}$, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$ (see Lemma 11). Those codings will allow us to talk about elements of the abstract spaces X^* and X^{**} as elements of their concrete codings in $B_{\ell_{\infty}}$. This will allow us to talk about Borel functions coding the functional operations given by elements of X^*, and X^{**}. At last, we will use those codings and Lemma 13 in order to bring the codings of X^* inside of SB. Those three steps will give us Theorem 1.

Also, while proving Theorem 1, we obtain a coding for the functional evaluation on the entire SB. It is clearly not possible to obtain an assignment $X \in SB \mapsto X^{\bullet} \in SB$ as before. Indeed, SB contains many spaces whose duals are non separable Banach spaces, hence if we demand $X^* \equiv X^{\bullet}$, we cannot have $X^{\bullet} \in SB$. We are however capable of coding the functional evaluation on the entire SB.

Theorem 3. *There exists a Borel map* $\Theta : SB \to \mathcal{K}(B_{\ell_{\infty}})$ *such that, for each* $X \in SB$, $B_{X^*} \equiv \Theta(X)$, *where the isometry between* B_{X^*} *and* $\Theta(X)$ *is the restriction of a linear isometry between* X^* *and* $\overline{span}\{\Theta(X)\}$. *Moreover, setting*

$$\mathbb{A} = \{(X, x, x^*) \in SB \times C(\Delta) \times B_{\ell_{\infty}} \mid x \in X, x^* \in \Theta(X)\},$$

there exists a Borel map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\cdot)} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that, for each* $X \in \mathbb{B}$,

(i) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ *is norm continuous, and*

(ii) $x^* \in \Theta(X) \mapsto \langle x^*, \cdot \rangle_X \in B_{X^*}$ *is a surjective isometry.*

It would be nice to get a global function such that its restriction to SD works as in Theorem 1.

Problem 4. Can we define the functions of Theorem 1 globally? Precisely, is there a Borel assignment $X \in SB \mapsto X^{\bullet} \in SB$ such that, once restricted to SD, the assignment has the same properties as in Theorem 1? What about a Borel map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\cdot)} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{A} = \{(X, x, g) \in SB \times C(\Delta) \times C(\Delta) \mid x \in X, g \in X^{\bullet}\}$, such

that, once restricted to $\mathbb{A} \cap (\mathrm{SD} \times C(\Delta) \times C(\Delta))$, it has the same properties as in Theorem 1?

The second half of the paper is devoted to Zippin's theorem. Zippin had shown (see [Z]) that any Banach space with separable dual can be isomorphically embedded into a Banach space with a shrinking basis. We show the following Borel parametrized version of it. For each $Z \in \mathrm{SB}$, we let $\mathrm{SB}(Z) = \{X \in \mathrm{SB} \mid X \subset Z\}$.

Theorem 5. *Say $\mathbb{B} \subset SD$ is Borel. There exists a $Z \in SD$, with a shrinking basis, and a Borel map $\Psi : \mathbb{B} \to SB(Z)$ such that $X \cong \Psi(X)$, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$. Moreover, setting $\mathbb{E} = \{(X, x) \in \mathbb{B} \times C(\Delta) \mid x \in X\}$, there exists a Borel map*

$$\psi : \mathbb{E} \to Z$$

such that, letting $\psi_X = \psi(X, \cdot)$, we have that $\psi_X : X \to Z$ is a 10-embedding, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$.

In [DF], Dodos and V. Ferenczi had shown the following.

Theorem 6. *(**Dodos and Ferenczi, 2007**) Let $\mathbb{A} \subset SD$ be analytic. There exists a Banach space Z with a shrinking basis that contains an isomorphic copy of every $X \in \mathbb{A}$.*

Hence, Theorem 5 can be seen as an improvement of Dodos and Ferenczi's theorem. Indeed, if $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathrm{SD}$ is analytic, then, as SD is coanalytic, Lusin's separation theorem gives us a Borel set $\mathbb{B}$ such that $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{B} \subset \mathrm{SD}$. Therefore, applying Theorem 5 to $\mathbb{B}$, we obtain not only Theorem 6, but also that its result can be obtained by a Borel function.

The proof of Theorem 5, is divided into two parts. In [DF], Dodos and Ferenczi, had shown, using results due B. Bossard (see [B]), that if $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathrm{SD}$ is analytic, then there exists an analytic set $\mathbb{A}' \subset \mathrm{SB}$ such that (i) every $X \in \mathbb{A}$ embeds into some $Y \in \mathbb{A}'$, and (ii) every $Y \in \mathbb{A}'$ has a shrinking basis. Following Bossard's work (see [B], or [D1], chapter 5), we show that this result can be obtained by a Borel function. Precisely, we show that if $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathrm{SD}$ is Borel, then there exists a Borel function $\sigma : \mathbb{B} \to C(\Delta)^{\mathbb{N}}$ which, for each $X \in \mathbb{B}$, selects a shrinking basis whose span contains an isomorphic copy of X (see Theorem 23 for a precise statement).

Finally, we show that if we have a Borel set of normalized shrinking basic sequences $U \subset S_{C(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{N}}$, we can find not only a space $Z \in \mathrm{SD}$ containing all those basis (as it is done in [AD]), but also an assignment

$$(x_n) \in U \mapsto X(\cong \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{x_n\}) \in \mathrm{SB}(Z)$$

which is Borel. Combining those two steps we get the Borel parametrized version of Zippin's theorem.

Our main references for these notes are Dodos' book *Banach spaces and descriptive set theory: Selected topics*, Dodos' paper *Definability under Duality*, S. Argyros and Dodos' paper *Genericity and amalgamation of classes of Banach spaces*, B. Bossard's paper *An ordinal version of some applications of the classical interpolation theorem,* and Dodos and Ferenczi's paper *Some strongly bounded classes of Banach spaces.* What we do in these notes is basically to show that some of

the results obtained in those papers can be actually obtained uniformly by Borel functions on Borel subsets of SD.

2. Notation.

Let SB $= \{X \subset C(\Delta) \mid X$ is closed and linear$\}$, SB will be our coding for the separable Banach spaces, where $C(\Delta)$ is the space of continuous functions on the Cantor set Δ (i.e., $2^{\mathbb{N}}$) endowed with the supremum norm. We endow SB with its Effros-Borel structure, i.e., the σ-algebra generated by

$$\{X \in \text{SB} \mid X \cap U \neq \emptyset\},$$

where U varies among the open subsets of $C(\Delta)$. It is well known that SB is a standard Borel space with the Effros-Borel structure (see [D1], Theorem 2.2). We denote by SD the subset of SB consisting of Banach spaces with separable duals, SD is well known to be complete coanalytic (hence non Borel), as shown above. For every Banach space X, we denote the unit ball of X by B_X. Unless stated otherwise, we will always consider the unit ball B_{X^*} endowed with its weak*-topology. So, for all $X \in \text{SB}$, B_{X^*} is a compact metric space. We denote by S_X the unit sphere of X, where X is a Banach space.

Similarly as above, if X is a Polish space, we can endow $\mathcal{F}(X)$, the set of non empty closed subsets of X, with the Effros-Borel structure. Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski's selection theorem gives us that, for any Polish space X, there exists a sequence of Borel functions $d_n : \mathcal{F}(X) \to X$ such that, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, the sequence $(d_n(F))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is dense in F (see [Ke], Theorem 12.13). In these notes, we denote by $d_n : \mathcal{F}(C[0,1]) \to C(\Delta)$ the sequence above, where $X = C[0,1]$. Moreover, by taking rational linear combinations, we assume $(d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is closed under rational linear combinations. As $X \in \text{SB} \mapsto B_X \in \mathcal{F}(C(\Delta))$ is a Borel map, the maps $X \mapsto d_n(B_X)$ are also Borel, and $(d_n(B_X))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is dense in B_X, for all $X \in \text{SB}$.

Elements of B_{X^*} will usually be denoted by f, while elements of B_{ℓ_∞} will usually be denoted by x^* or x^{**} (depending whether this element is coding a functional from B_{X^*} or $B_{X^{**}}$). The reader should always have in mind that $x^* \in B_{\ell_\infty}$ actually denotes a bounded sequence $x^* = (x_n^*)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in B_{\ell_\infty}$.

In order to simplify notation, many times we omit the index of sequences, writing (x_n) instead of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We do the same for sums, i.e., we write $\sum_n x_n$ instead of $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} x_n$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{k} x_n$. We hope this will not cause any confusion to the reader.

When dealing with functionals, say $f \in X^*$ and $x \in X$, we use both "$f(x)$" and "$\langle f, x \rangle$" to denote the value of the functional f evaluated at x. Also, as we will be dealing with many spaces and norms, in order to have a cleaner notation, we will usually simply write $\|x\|$ instead of $\|x\|_X$ to denote the norm of x in X, where $x \in X$. The spaces in which the elements whose norms are being computed lie in should always be clear, and if there is room for any ambiguity we will specify the norm we are working with.

Say X and Y are Banach spaces. We write $X \equiv Y$ to denote that X is linearly isometric to Y, and we write $X \cong Y$ to denote that X is (linearly) isomorphic to Y. Also, if X and Y are metric spaces, we write $X \equiv Y$ to denote that X and Y are isometric as metric spaces. If (x_n) and (y_n) are two basic sequences, we write

$(x_n) \sim (y_n)$ to denote that (x_n) is equivalent to (y_n), i.e., $x_n \mapsto y_n$ defines an isomorphism between $\overline{\text{span}}\{x_n\}$ and $\overline{\text{span}}\{y_n\}$.

Let X be a metric space. We denote by $\mathcal{K}(X)$ the hyperspace of X, i.e., the space of all compact subsets of X endowed with the Vietoris topology (which in metric spaces is equivalent to the topology generated by the Hausdorff metric), the reader can find more about the hyperspace $\mathcal{K}(X)$ in [Ke], Section 4.F.

In order to simplify notation when working with many quantifiers in the same sentence, we will assume "$n, m \in \mathbb{N}$" and "$\delta, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^+$". For example, we only write "$\exists \delta$" instead of "$\exists \delta \in \mathbb{Q}^+$". Similarly, "$\exists a_1, ..., a_n$" should be interpreted as "$\exists a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathbb{Q}$". The set in which we are quantifying over should always be clear.

Denote by $[\mathbb{N}]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ the set of all increasing finite tuples of natural numbers, and $[\mathbb{N}]^{\mathbb{N}}$ the set of all increasing sequence of natural numbers. As $[\mathbb{N}]^{\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is Borel, we have that $[\mathbb{N}]^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a standard Borel space. Also, if A is any set, let $A^{<\mathbb{N}}$ denote the set of finite subsets of A. Given $s = (s_0, ..., s_{n-1}), t = (t_0, ..., t_{m-1}) \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$ we say that the length of s is $|s| = n$, $s_{|i} = (s_0, ..., s_{i-1})$, for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, and $s_{|0} = \{\emptyset\}$. We say that $s \preceq t$ iff $n \leqslant m$ and $s_i = t_i$, for all $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$, i.e., if t is an extension of s. We define $s \prec t$ analogously.

A subset T of $A^{<\mathbb{N}}$ is called a *tree* (on A) if $t \in T$ implies $t_{|i} \in T$, for all $i \in \{0, ..., |t|\}$. A tree T is called pruned if for all $s \in T$ there exists $t \in T$ such that $s \prec t$. We denote by $[T]$ the set $\{\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall n \ \sigma_{|n} \in T\}$. A subset I of a tree T is called a *segment* if I is completely ordered and if $s, t \in I$ with $s \preceq t$, then $l \in I$, for all $l \in T$ such that $s \preceq l \preceq t$. Two segments I_1, I_2 are called *completely incomparable* if neither $s \preceq t$ nor $t \preceq s$ hold, for all $s \in I_1$ and $t \in I_2$.

A *B-tree* on a subset A is a subset $S \subset A^{<\mathbb{N}} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that $S = T \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, for some tree T on A. In other words, a B-tree is a tree without its root. All the definitions in the previous paragraph extend to B-trees.

Let $X \in \text{SB}$, A be a countable set, T be a pruned B-tree on A, and $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ be a normalized sequence of elements of X indexed by T. We say that $(X, A, T, (x_t)_{t \in T})$ is a *Schauder tree basis* if

  (i) $X = \overline{\text{span}}\{x_t \mid t \in T\}$, and
  (ii) for every $\sigma \in [T]$, the sequence $(x_{\sigma_{|n}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bi-monotone basic sequence.

Let $(X, A, T, (x_t)_{t \in T})$ be a Schauder tree basis. We define the ℓ_2-*Baire sum* of $(X, A, T, (x_t)_{t \in T})$ as the completion of $c_{00}(T)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|z\| = \sup \left\{ \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{k} \| \sum_{s \in I_n} z_s x_s \|_X^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mid I_1, ..., I_k \text{ completely incomparable} \right.$$

$$\left. \text{segments of } T \right\},$$

for all $z = (z_s)_{s \in T} \in c_{00}(T)$. By abuse of notation, we still denote by x_t the elements of the ℓ_2-Baire sum corresponding to the original $x_t \in X$ (see [D1], chapter 3, for details on Schauder tree basis and ℓ_2-Baire sums).

Let $\varphi : T \to \mathbb{N}$ be a bijection such that, for all $s \preceq t \in T$, we have $\varphi(s) \leqslant \varphi(t)$.

Theorem 7. *(see* [D1]*, corollary* 3.29*) Let $(X, A, T, (x_t)_{t \in T})$ be a Schauder tree basis. Assume that for all $\sigma \in [T]$ the basic sequence $(x_{\sigma_{|n}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is shrinking. Then $(x_{\varphi^{-1}(n)})_n$ is a shrinking basis for the ℓ_2-Baire sum of $(X, A, T, (x_t)_{t \in T})$.*

3. Duality on Banach spaces.

Our goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1, i.e., we will show how to obtain the assignment $X \mapsto X^*$ in a Borel fashion. Precisely, given a Borel subset of $\mathrm{SD} = \{X \in \mathrm{SB} \mid X^* \text{ is separable}\}$, say $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathrm{SD}$, we will define a Borel function $X \in \mathbb{B} \mapsto X^\bullet \in \mathrm{SB}$ such that $X^\bullet$ is isometric to X^*, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$. Moreover, we will keep track of the isometries between $X^\bullet$ and X^* in such a way that it will be possible to actually interpret the elements of $X^\bullet$ as elements of X^*, i.e., we will be capable of computing $\langle g, x \rangle_X$ in a Borel manner, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$, all $x \in X$, and all $g \in X^\bullet$.

In order to prove Theorem 1, our main tools will be Dodos' coding for the unit ball B_{X^*}, Lemma 10, and Lemma 13, which will allow us to bring families of separable Banach spaces into our coding SB.

We start by describing Dodos coding of B_{X^*} as a subset of B_{ℓ_∞}. Given $X \in \mathrm{SB}$, we code B_{X^*} by letting

$$K_{X^*} = \left\{ x^* \in B_{\ell_\infty} \mid \exists f \in B_{X^*} \forall n \ x_n^* = \frac{f(d_n(X))}{\|d_n(X)\|} \right\} \subset B_{\ell_\infty},$$

where if $d_n(X) = 0$, we let $x_n^* = 0$ above. It is not hard to see ([D2], Section 3) that the set $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathrm{SB} \times B_{\ell_\infty}$ defined by

$$(X, x^*) \in \mathbb{D} \Leftrightarrow x^* \in K_{X^*}$$

is Borel. Also, for a given $X \in \mathrm{SB}$, the natural map

$$f \in B_{X^*} \mapsto \left(\frac{f(d_n(X))}{\|d_n(X)\|} \right)_n \in K_{X^*}$$

is a surjective isometry (see [D2], Section 3). Moreover, the isometry is "linear", i.e., if $f, g \in B_{X^*}$ and $\alpha f + \beta g \in B_{X^*}$, then

$$\alpha f + \beta g \mapsto \alpha \left(\frac{f(d_n(X))}{\|d_n(X)\|} \right)_n + \beta \left(\frac{g(d_n(X))}{\|d_n(X)\|} \right)_n.$$

This isometry between the compact metric spaces K_{X^*} and B_{X^*} is actually the restriction of an isometry between the Banach spaces $\mathrm{span}\{K_{X^*}\}$ and X^*. This observation will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

We will need the following result (see [Ke], Theorem 28.8).

Theorem 8. *Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a standard Borel space, Y be a Polish space, and $\mathbb{D} \subset X \times Y$ be a Borel set, all of whose sections $\mathbb{D}_x = \{y \in Y \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{D}\}$ are compact. Then the map $x \mapsto \mathbb{D}_x$ is Borel as a map $\mathbb{B} \to \mathcal{K}(Y)$.*

The lemma below is a simple application of the theorem above and it summarizes what we need regarding the Dodos' coding described above.

Lemma 9. *The map*

$$X \in SB \mapsto K_{X^*} \in \mathcal{K}(B_{\ell_\infty})$$

is Borel. Moreover, for all $X \in SB$, there exists an onto isometry $i_X : K_{X^*} \to B_{X^*}$ such that, if $f = i_X(x^*)$, then $x_n^* = f(d_n(X))/\|d_n(X)\|$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_n(X) \neq 0$, and $x_n^* = 0$ otherwise. The isometries i_X are restrictions of linear isometries $\operatorname{span}\{K_{X^*}\} \to X^*$.

Remark: The lemma above can also be obtained by Lemma 10, which we will state and use below. However, as Theorem 8 is more standard, we prefer to obtain this lemma by it.

We had already defined our coding for X^*, let us now define our coding for X^{**}. For this, we will need the following result of Dodos (see [D2], Section 1). First we need to introduce some notation. Let $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{B} \subset B_{\ell_\infty}$, we say that $\mathbb{A}$ is *norm dense* in $\mathbb{B}$ if $\mathbb{A}$ is dense in $\mathbb{B}$ with respect to the norm topology of B_{ℓ_∞}. Similarly, we say $\mathbb{A} \subset B_{\ell_\infty}$ is *norm separable* if it is separable with respect to the norm topology of B_{ℓ_∞}.

Lemma 10. *(Dodos, 2010)* *Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a standard Borel space, and let $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{B} \times B_{\ell_\infty}$ be a Borel subset. Assume that, for each $x \in \mathbb{B}$, we have*

(i) $\mathbb{D}_x = \{f \in B_{\ell_\infty} \mid (x, f) \in \mathbb{D}\}$ is non-empty and compact, and
(ii) $\mathbb{D}_x$ is norm separable.

Then, there exists a sequence of Borel uniformizations of $\mathbb{D}$, $g_n : \mathbb{B} \to B_{\ell_\infty}$, such that $(g_n(x))_n$ is norm dense in $\mathbb{D}_x$, for each $x \in \mathbb{B}$.

Say $\mathbb{B} \subset SD$ is Borel, and define $\mathbb{D}$ as in Dodos' coding above. As $\mathbb{B} \subset SD$, we have that $\mathbb{D}_X$ is norm separable, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$. Therefore, by the lemma above, there exists a sequence of Borel functions $g_n : \mathbb{B} \to B_{\ell_\infty}$ such that, for each $X \in \mathbb{B}$, the sequence $(g_n(X))_n$ is norm dense in K_{X^*}. By taking rational linear combinations of (g_n), we can assume that (g_n) are closed under rational linear combinations. This sequence will play the same role as the sequence of Kuratowski Ryll-Nardzewski's selectors $(d_n)_n$ did in Dodos' coding for B_{X^*}.

We saw that, for each $X \in \mathbb{B}$, X^* is isometric to $\operatorname{span}\{K_{X^*}\}$. In order to simplify notation, set $[K_{X^*}] = \operatorname{span}\{K_{X^*}\}$. With that in mind, for each $X \in \mathbb{B}$, we define a coding for X^{**} as

$$L_{X^{**}} = \left\{ x^{**} \in B_{\ell_\infty} \mid \exists f \in B_{[K_{X^*}]^*} \forall n \; x_n^{**} = \frac{f(g_n(X))}{\|g_n(X)\|_\infty} \right\} \subset B_{\ell_\infty},$$

where if $g_n(X) = 0$, we let $x_n^{**} = 0$ above. It is not hard to see that the set $\mathbb{D}' \subset SB \times B_{\ell_\infty}$ defined by

$$(X, x^{**}) \in \mathbb{D}' \Leftrightarrow x^{**} \in L_{X^{**}}$$

is Borel. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(X, x^{**}) \in \mathbb{D}' \Leftrightarrow & \forall n, m, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \; \forall p, q \in \mathbb{Q} \\
& pg_n(X) + qg_m(X) = g_\ell(X) \\
& \to px_n^{**}\|g_n(X)\|_\infty + qx_m^{**}\|g_m(X)\|_\infty = x_\ell^{**}\|g_\ell(X)\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, for a given $X \in SB$, the natural map

$$f \in B_{[K_{X^*}]^*} \mapsto \Big(\frac{f(g_n(X))}{\|g_n(X)\|_\infty}\Big)_n \in L_{X^{**}}$$

is a surjective isometry. Indeed, if $x^{**}(1), ..., x^{**}(k) \in L_{X^{**}}$ and $f_1, ..., f_k$ are the corresponding elements of $B_{[K_{X^*}]^*}$, then, for every $a_1, ..., a_k \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\Big\|\sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i\Big\|_{[K_{X^*}]^*} = \sup\Big\{\Big|\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \frac{f_i(g_n(X))}{\|g_n(X)\|_\infty}\Big| \mid g_n(X) \neq 0\Big\}$$

$$= \sup\Big\{\Big|\sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_n^{**}(i)\Big| \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\Big\} = \Big\|\sum_{i=1}^k a_i x^{**}(i)\Big\|_\infty.$$

We can now apply Theorem 8 and get the following lemma, which is the first step to show that $L_{X^{**}}$ can be used as a (nice) coding for X^{**}.

Lemma 11. *Say $\mathbb{B} \subset SD$ is Borel. The map*

$$X \in \mathbb{B} \mapsto L_{X^{**}} \in \mathcal{K}(B_{\ell_\infty})$$

is Borel. Moreover, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$, there exists an onto isometry $j_X : L_{X^{**}} \to B_{[K_{X^*}]^*}$ such that, if $f = j_X(x^{**})$, then $x_n^{**} = f(g_n(X))/\|g_n(X)\|$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_n(X) \neq 0$, and $x_n^{**} = 0$ otherwise.

Before we show how to interpret the elements in our coding for X^* and X^{**}, let us prove another lemma which will be crucial in our proof. Many times in these notes we will be working with families of separable Banach spaces which are not in SB. Lemma 13 is the tool that we will use in order to bring those families back to SB.

For any given non-empty compact metric space M, there exists a continuous surjection $h : \Delta \to M$ (see [Ke], Theorem 4.18). The following lemmas allow us to choose (in a Borel manner) continuous surjections $h_K : \Delta \to K$, for all $K \in \mathcal{K}(M)$. Similar calculations can be found in [S], Proposition 3.8, page 14, and Theorem 2.1, page 106.

Lemma 12. *Let M be a metric space and L be a compact metric space. Let $h : L \to M$ be a continuous function. Then the map*

$$K \in \mathcal{K}(M) \mapsto h^{-1}(K) \in \mathcal{K}(L)$$

is Borel.

Proof. Let $U \subset L$ be an open set. We only need to show that $\{K \in \mathcal{K}(M) \mid h^{-1}(K) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ is Borel (see [D1], proposition 1.4). We first prove the following claim.

Claim: Say $F \subset M$ is closed. Then $\{K \in \mathcal{K}(M) \mid K \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$ is Borel.

Say d is the metric of M, and write $F = \cap_m V_m$, where each V_m is open, and $d(x, F) < 1/m$, for all $x \in V_m$. Notice that

$$\{K \in \mathcal{K}(M) \mid K \cap F \neq \emptyset\} = \cap_m \{K \in \mathcal{K}(M) \mid K \cap V_m \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Indeed, if $K \cap F \neq \emptyset$, it is clear that $K \cap V_m \neq \emptyset$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Say $K \cap V_m \neq \emptyset$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and pick $v_m \in K \cap V_m$, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. As K is compact, by taking a subsequence, we can assume $v_m \to v$, for some $v \in K$. Also, as $d(v_m, F) < 1/m$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $v \in F$. Hence, $K \cap F \neq \emptyset$, and the claim is done.

Let us now finish the proof of the lemma. As L is a metric space and U is open, we can write $U = \cup_n F_n$, where F_n is closed, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, as L is compact and h is continuous, we have that $h(F_n)$ is closed, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, as we have

$$\{K \in \mathcal{K}(M) \mid h^{-1}(K) \cap U \neq \emptyset\} = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(M) \mid K \cap h(U) \neq \emptyset\}$$
$$= \cup_n \{K \in \mathcal{K}(M) \mid K \cap h(F_n) \neq \emptyset\},$$

we are done. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 13. *Let Δ be the Cantor set. There exists a Borel function*

$$Q : \mathcal{K}(\Delta) \to C(\Delta, \Delta)$$

such that, for each $K \in \mathcal{K}(\Delta)$, $Q(K) : \Delta \to \Delta$ is a continuous function onto K. Therefore, if M is a compact metric space, and $h : \Delta \to M$ is a continuous surjection, we have that

$$H : K \in \mathcal{K}(M) \mapsto h \circ Q(h^{-1}(K)) \in C(\Delta, M),$$

is a Borel function and, for each $K \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, $H(K) : \Delta \to M$ is a continuous function onto K.

Proof. The second part of the lemma follows from the first part and the lemma above. Let us prove the first part. For each $s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$, we let $\Delta_s = \{\sigma \in \Delta \mid s \preceq \sigma\}$.

For each $K \in \mathcal{K}(\Delta)$, we define $Q(K) : \Delta \to \Delta$ as follows. If $\sigma \in K$, let $Q(K)(\sigma) = \sigma$. If $\sigma \notin K$, let $n(K, \sigma) = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Delta_{\sigma|n} \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$, and set

$$Q(K)(\sigma) = \min \Delta_{\sigma|n(K,\sigma)} \cap K,$$

where the minimum above is taken under the lexicographical order $\leqslant_{lex}$. It is easy to see that $Q(K) \in C(\Delta, \Delta)$. Let us show that $K \mapsto Q(K)$ is Borel.

Say $g \in C(\Delta, \Delta)$, $\delta > 0$, and let d_Δ be the usual metric of Δ. We need to show that $\{K \in \mathcal{K}(\Delta) \mid \sup_{\sigma \in \Delta} d_\Delta(Q(K)(\sigma), g(\sigma)) < \delta\}$ is Borel. Say $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma \in \Delta$, then

$$\{K \in \mathcal{K}(\Delta) \mid n(K, \sigma) = n\} = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(\Delta) \mid K \cap \Delta_{\sigma|n} \neq \emptyset\}$$
$$\cap \{K \in \mathcal{K}(\Delta) \mid K \cap \Delta_{\sigma|n+1} = \emptyset\}$$

is Borel. Therefore, if $G \subset \Delta$ is a countable dense set,

$$\{K \in \mathcal{K}(\Delta) \mid \sup_{\sigma \in \Delta} d_\Delta(Q(K)(\sigma), g(\sigma)) < \delta\} = W \cap P,$$

where

$$W = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(\Delta) \mid \exists \varepsilon \forall \sigma \in G (\forall n\ n(K, \sigma) \neq n) \rightarrow d_\Delta(\sigma, g(\sigma)) < \delta - \varepsilon\}$$

is Borel, and

$$P = \Big\{K \in \mathcal{K}(\Delta) \mid \exists \varepsilon \forall \sigma \in G \left(\exists n\ n(K, \sigma) = n\right)$$
$$\rightarrow \left(\exists s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}(\sigma_{|n} \preceq s)\ \forall \sigma_{|n} \preceq s' <_{lex} s\ \forall \tilde{\sigma} \in G \cap \Delta_s\right.$$
$$\left. \Delta_s \cap K \neq \emptyset \wedge \Delta_{s'} \cap K = \emptyset \wedge d_\Delta(\tilde{\sigma}, g(\sigma)) < \delta - \varepsilon\right)\Big\}.$$

So, P is Borel, and we are done. $\qquad\square$

We now show a couple of lemmas that will allow us to interpret K_{X^*} and $L_{X^{**}}$ as X^* and X^{**}, i.e., the lemmas will tell us how the functional evaluation will work if $x \in X$, $x^* \in K_{X^*}$, and $x^{**} \in L_{X^{**}}$.

Lemma 14. *For each $X \in SB$, let i_X be as in Lemma 9. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{(X, x, x^*) \in SB \times C(\Delta) \times B_{\ell_\infty} \mid x \in X, x^* \in K_{X^*}\}$, and let $\alpha : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as*

$$\alpha(X, x, x^*) = \langle i_X(x^*), x \rangle,$$

for each $(X, x, x^*) \in \mathbb{A}$. Then, $\mathbb{A}$ is Borel, and α is a Borel map.

Proof. As $X \mapsto K_{X^*}$ is Borel, it is clear that $\mathbb{A}$ is Borel. Pick $(X, x, x^*) \in \mathbb{A}$, and let $(n_j) \in [\mathbb{N}]^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that $d_{n_j}(X) \rightarrow x$. Then $\alpha(X, x, x^*) = \lim x^*_{n_j} \|d_{n_j}(X)\|$. Indeed, as $d_{n_j}(X) \rightarrow x$, we have $\langle i_X(x^*), d_{n_j}(X) \rangle \rightarrow \langle i_X(x^*), x \rangle$. Hence, as $\langle i_X(x^*), d_{n_j}(X) \rangle = x^*_{n_j} \|d_{n_j}(X)\|$, we have $x^*_{n_j} \|d_{n_j}(X)\| \rightarrow \alpha(X, x, x^*)$.

To see that α is Borel notice that, given $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\{(X, x, x^*) \in \mathbb{A} \mid \alpha(X, x, x^*) \in (a, b)\} =$$
$$\{(X, x, x^*) \in \mathbb{A} \mid \exists \delta \forall \varepsilon \exists n \|d_n(X) - x\| < \varepsilon, x^*_n \|d_n(X)\| \in (a + \delta, b - \delta)\}.$$
$$\qquad\square$$

Notice that, we have finally obtained Theorem 3, which is the first ingredient for Theorem 1. Indeed, Theorem 3 is a simple consequence of Lemma 9 and Lemma 14.

Lemma 15. *Say $\mathbb{B} \subset SD$ is Borel, and let j_X be as in Lemma 11. Let $\mathbb{F} = \{(X, x^*, x^{**}) \in \mathbb{B} \times B_{\ell_\infty} \times B_{\ell_\infty} \mid x^* \in K_{X^*}, x^{**} \in L_{X^{**}}\}$, and let $\beta : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as*

$$\beta(X, x^*, x^{**}) = \langle j_X(x^{**}), x^* \rangle,$$

for each $(X, x^*, x^{**}) \in \mathbb{F}$. Then, $\mathbb{F}$ is Borel, and β is a Borel map.

Proof. As $X \mapsto K_{X^*}$, and $X \mapsto L_{X^{**}}$ are Borel, it is clear that $\mathbb{F}$ is Borel. If, in the proof of Lemma 14, we substitute the sequence (d_n) by the sequence (g_n) given by Theorem 10, and we substitute i_X by j_X, the rest of the proof follows exactly as in the proof of Lemma 14. $\qquad\square$

Notice that, as B_{ℓ_∞} is a non-empty compact metric space, Lemma 13 gives us a Borel map $H : \mathcal{K}(B_{\ell_\infty}) \to C(\Delta, B_{\ell_\infty})$ such that, for all $K \in \mathcal{K}(B_{\ell_\infty})$, $H(K) : \Delta \to B_{\ell_\infty}$ is continuous and onto K. We have the following easy application of Lemma 13, and Lemma 15.

Corollary 16. *Let $\mathbb{B} \subset SD$ be Borel. Let H be as above, and β as in Lemma 15. Set $\mathbb{E} = \{(X, x^*, y) \in \mathbb{B} \times B_{\ell_\infty} \times \Delta \mid x^* \in K_{X^*}\}$, and define $\gamma : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{R}$ as*

$$\gamma(X, x^*, y) = \beta(X, x^*, H(L_{X^{**}})(y)),$$

for each $(X, x^*, y) \in \mathbb{E}$. Then, $\mathbb{E}$ is Borel, and γ is a Borel map.

The following corollary is just a consequence of the previous lemmas.

Corollary 17. *Assume we are in the same setting as in Corollary 16. Then, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$, and for all $x^* \in K_{X^*}$, $\gamma(X, x^*, \cdot) : \Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and*

$$sup_{y \in \Delta} \gamma(X, x^*, y) = \|x^*\|_\infty = \|i_X(x^*)\|_{X^*}.$$

The first equality in the lemma above follows from the fact that $H(L_{X^{**}}) : \Delta \to B_{\ell_\infty}$ is a function *onto* $L_{X^{**}} \equiv B_{X^{**}}$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1, the duality theorem. In the same fashion as in the usual proof that every separable Banach space X embeds into $C(\Delta)$ (see [Ke], page 79), we will now use the function H to show that we can embed (in a Borel manner) the duals of all spaces of $X \in \mathbb{B}$ into $C(\Delta)$.

Proof. **(of theorem 1)** Let α, H, and γ be as in Lemma 14, and Corollary 16. For each $X \in \mathbb{B}$, let

$$X^\bullet = \{g \in C(\Delta) \mid \exists x^* \in K_{X^*} \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \forall y \in \Delta \ \ g(y) = \lambda\gamma(X, x^*, y)\}.$$

Let us show that the assignment $X \mapsto X^\bullet$ is Borel. For this let (g_n) be given by Theorem 10, so $(g_n(X))_n$ is *norm* dense in K_{X^*}, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$. Let $U(g, \delta) \subset C(\Delta)$ be the δ-ball centered at g, i.e.,

$$U(g, \delta) = \{f \in C(\Delta) \mid \exists\varepsilon\forall y \in \Delta \ d_\Delta(f(y), g(y)) < \delta - \varepsilon\},$$

where $g \in C(\Delta)$, $\delta > 0$, and d_Δ is the standard metric on Δ. Let $G \subset \Delta$ be a countable dense set. We have

$$\{X \in \mathbb{B} \mid X^\bullet \cap U(g, \delta) \neq \emptyset\} =$$
$$= \{X \in \mathbb{B} \mid \exists x^* \in K_{X^*} \exists \lambda \ \exists\varepsilon\forall y \in \Delta \ \ d_\Delta(\lambda\gamma(X, x^*, y), g(y)) < \delta - \varepsilon\}$$
$$= \{X \in \mathbb{B} \mid \exists n \exists \lambda \ \exists\varepsilon\forall y \in G \ \ d_\Delta(\lambda\gamma(X, g_n(X), y), g(y)) < \delta - \varepsilon\},$$

so $X \mapsto X^\bullet$ is Borel.

Let us now define the desired map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\cdot)} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{A} = \{(X, x, g) \in \mathrm{SB} \times C(\Delta) \times C(\Delta) \mid x \in X, g \in X^{\bullet}\}$. For each $(X, x, g) \in \mathbb{A}$, with $g = \lambda \gamma(X, x^*, \cdot)$, we let

$$\langle g, x \rangle_X = \lambda \alpha(X, x, x^*).$$

Let us show this map is well defined.

Claim: Fix $X \in \mathbb{B}$. Say $\lambda_1 \gamma(X, x^*(1), \cdot) = \lambda_2 \gamma(X, x^*(2), \cdot)$, where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x^*(i) \in K_{X^*}$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then $\lambda_1 x^*(1) = \lambda_2 x^*(2)$. In particular, $\langle g, x \rangle_X$ does not depend on the representative $\lambda \gamma(X, x^*, \cdot)$ of g.

Indeed, by the definition of γ, we have

$$\lambda_1 \langle j_X(H(L_{X^{**}})(\cdot)), x^*(1) \rangle = \lambda_2 \langle j_X(H(L_{X^{**}})(\cdot)), x^*(2) \rangle,$$

which implies

$$\langle j_X(H(L_{X^{**}})(y)), \lambda_1 x^*(1) - \lambda_2 x^*(2) \rangle = 0, \quad \forall y \in \Delta.$$

Therefore, as $H(L_{X^{**}}) : \Delta \to L_{X^{**}}$ and $j_X : L_{X^{**}} \to B_{[K_{X^*}]^*}$ are surjective, we have that $\lambda_1 x^*(1) - \lambda_2 x^*(2) = 0$, and the first part of the claim is done. By the definition of α, we have

$$\lambda \alpha(X, x, x^*) = \lambda \langle i_X(x^*), x \rangle.$$

Hence, as i_X is linear, we conclude that $\langle g, x \rangle_X$ does not depend on the representative of g. So, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\cdot)}$ is well defined.

Let us now show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\cdot)}$ has the desired properties.

Claim: For each $X \in \mathbb{B}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ is bilinear.

Clearly, for a given $g \in X^{\bullet}$, the assignment $x \in X \mapsto \langle g, x \rangle_X \in \mathbb{R}$ is linear. Fix $x \in X$ and let $g_1 = \lambda_1 \gamma(X, x^*(1), \cdot) \in X^{\bullet}$, $g_2 = \lambda_2 \gamma(X, x^*(2), \cdot) \in X^{\bullet}$, and $g + h = \lambda_3 \gamma(X, x^*(3), \cdot) \in X^{\bullet}$. Similarly as in the previous claim, we have

$$\lambda_1 x^*(1) + \lambda_2 x^*(2) = \lambda_3 x^*(3).$$

Hence, as i_X is linear, we have $\langle g + h, x \rangle_X = \langle g, x \rangle_X + \langle h, x \rangle_X$. Analogously, we have $\langle \lambda g, x \rangle_X = \lambda \langle g, x \rangle_X$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and we conclude that $g \in X^{\bullet} \mapsto \langle g, x \rangle_X \in \mathbb{R}$ is linear.

By Corollary 17, we have that $g \in X^{\bullet} \mapsto \langle g, \cdot \rangle_X \in X^*$ is a surjective isometry. Indeed, Corollary 17 gives us that, if $g = \lambda \gamma(X, x^*, \cdot)$,

$$\|g\|_{C(\Delta)} = \sup_{y \in \Delta} |\lambda\gamma(X, x^*, y)| = \|\lambda x^*\|_\infty = \|\lambda i_X(x^*)\|_{X^*}$$
$$= \sup_{x \in B_X} |\lambda\langle i_X(x^*), x\rangle| = \sup_{x \in B_X} |\lambda\alpha(X, x, x^*)|$$
$$= \sup_{x \in B_X} \langle g, x\rangle_X = \|\langle g, \cdot\rangle_X\|_{X^*}.$$

Also, if $f \in X^*$, there exists $x^* \in K_{X^*}$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = \lambda i_X(x^*)$. Hence, letting $g = \lambda\gamma(X, x^*, \cdot)$, we have $\langle g, \cdot\rangle_X = f$, so $g \in X^\bullet \mapsto \langle g, \cdot\rangle_X \in X^*$ is surjective.

We also get for free that $\langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle_X$ is norm continuous, for each $X \in \mathbb{B}$. Let us show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle_{(\cdot)} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ is Borel. For this, notice that the map

$$(X, x, x^*) \in \{(X, x, x^*) \in \mathbb{B} \times C(\Delta) \times B_{\ell_\infty} \mid x \in X, x^* \in K_{X^*}\}$$
$$\mapsto (X, x, \gamma(X, x^*, \cdot)) \in \{(X, x, g) \in \mathbb{B} \times C(\Delta) \times C(\Delta) \mid x \in X, g \in B_{X^\bullet}\}$$

is a Borel isomorphism, call the inverse of this map J. As $\langle g, x\rangle_X$ does to depend on the representative of g, we have

$$\langle g, x\rangle_X = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } g = 0, \\ \|g\|\alpha\Big(J\big(X, x, \frac{g}{\|g\|}\big)\Big), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the map $\langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle_{(\cdot)}$ is Borel, and we are done. $\square$

4. A Borel Parametrized version of Zippin's Theorem

A famous theorem of Zippin says that, given a Banach space with separable dual X, there exists a Banach space Z with a shrinking basis such that X embeds into Z (see [Z] for Zippin's original paper). Dodos and Ferenczi had shown, using results from [B], that given an analytic subset $\mathbb{A} \subset \text{SD}$, there exists a $Z \in \text{SD}$ such that every $X \in \mathbb{A}$ embeds into Z (see [DF]). In other words, Dodos and Ferenczi proved a parametrized version of Zippin's theorem.

We will now show that we can get something even stronger than a parametrized version of Zippin's theorem, we can get a *Borel* parametrized version of it. Precisely, say $\mathbb{B} \subset \text{SD}$ is Borel (notice, if $\mathbb{A} \subset \text{SD}$ is analytic, then, as SD is coanalytic, Lusin's separation theorem gives us a Borel set $\mathbb{B}$ such that $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{B} \subset \text{SD}$), then one can find a space $Z \in \text{SD}$ with a shrinking basis, and a Borel function $\mathbb{B} \to \text{SB}(Z)$ such that, for each $X \in \mathbb{B}$, the function assigns a subspace of Z isomorphic to X (see Theorem 5 for a precise statement).

4.1. **Embedding a Borel $\mathbb{B} \subset \text{SD}$ into spaces with shrinking bases.** Dodos and Ferenczi had shown that for a given analytic set $\mathbb{A} \subset \text{SD}$, there exists an analytic set $\mathbb{A}' \subset \text{SD}$ such that (i) for every $X \in \mathbb{A}$, there exists an $Y \in \mathbb{A}'$ such that $X \hookrightarrow Y$, and (ii) Y has a shrinking basis, for all $Y \in \mathbb{A}'$ (this was essentially done by using results of [B], the reader can find a complete proof in [D1], chapter 5). In this subsection, we will show that we can actually find such $\mathbb{A}'$ by a Borel function.

Fix a Borel $\mathbb{B} \subset \text{SD}$. Bossard showed that for each $X \in \mathbb{B}$, there exists a sequence $(e_k^X)_k \in C(\Delta)^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence of norms $(\|\cdot\|_{X,n})_n$ on $C(\Delta)$ such that, for

each $X \in \mathbb{B}$, we have (a detailed construction of those objects can be found in [D1], chapter 5):

(i) Each $\| \cdot \|_{X,n}$ is equivalent to the standard norm of $C(\Delta)$.

(ii) Let $Z(X) = \{f \in C(\Delta) \mid \sum_n \|f\|_{X,n}^2 < \infty\}$. Then $Z(X)$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|.\|_{Z(X)} = (\sum_n \|.\|_{X,n}^2)^{1/2}$.

(iii) The inclusion $j_X : Z(X) \to C(\Delta)$ is continuous and $B_X \subset B_{Z(X)}$. So the inclusion $\tau_X : X \subset C(\Delta) \to Z(X)$ is an embedding, and $\|\tau_X\| \leqslant 1$.

(iv) $(j_X^{-1}(e_k^X))_k$ is a shrinking bases for $Z(X)$. By abuse of notation, we will still denote this basis by $(e_k^X)_k$.

Bossard proved the following lemmas (for detailed proofs see [D1], pages 85 and 86).

Lemma 18. *The map $X \in \mathbb{B} \mapsto (e_k^X)_k \in C(\Delta)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is Borel.*

Lemma 19. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $(X, f) \in \mathbb{B} \times C(\Delta) \mapsto \|f\|_{X,n} \in \mathbb{R}$ is Borel.*

Therefore, by $\|.\|_{Z(X)}$-normalizing $(e_k^X)_k$, we can assume:

(iv)' $(e_k^X)_k$ is a *normalized* shrinking bases for $Z(X)$.

We need one more property of the objects described above. The norms $\|.\|_{X,n}$ are obtained by letting

$$\|x\|_{X,n} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 \mid \frac{x}{\lambda} \in 2^n W_X + 2^{-n} B_X\},$$

where $W_X \subset C(\Delta)$ is a closed, bounded, and symmetric subset of $C(\Delta)$ defined in terms of X (the map $X \in \mathbb{B} \mapsto W_X \in \mathcal{F}(C(\Delta))$ is actually Borel, see [D1], page 86). Hence, $Z(X)$ is the 2-*interpolation space* of the pair $(C(\Delta), W_X)$ (see Davis-Figiel-Johnson-Pelczynski [DFJP], for definition and basic facts about this interpolation space). It is easy to see, looking at the definition of interpolation spaces, that the inclusion $j_X : Z(X) \to C(\Delta)$ is continuous and it is bounded by $9K$, where

$$K = \max\{1, \sup\{|w| \mid w \in W_X\}\}.$$

By looking at the definition of W_X (see [D1], page 83), one easily sees that $W_X \subset B_{C(\Delta)}$, so $K = 1$. Therefore, the norms of the inclusions j_X are uniformly bounded by 9, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$.

The conclusion of the discussion above is that we can assume:

(iii)' The inclusions $j_X : Z(X) \to C(\Delta)$ are continuous and their norms are uniformly bounded by 9. As $B_X \subset B_{Z(X)}$, the inclusion $\tau_X : X \subset C(\Delta) \to Z(X)$ is an embedding, and $\|\tau_X\| \leqslant 1$. Moreover, $\tau_X : X \subset C(\Delta) \to Z(X)$ is a 9-embedding, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$.

The reader should be aware that, by abuse of notation, if $x \in X$, we write x every time we refer to $\tau_X(x) \in Z(X)$. As τ_X is an inclusion, we hope this will not cause any confusion.

Given $a = (a_1, ..., a_k) \in \mathbb{Q}^{<\mathbb{Q}}$, let $a \times (e_j^X)$ stand for $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i e_i^X \in Z(X)$. As $\mathbb{Q}^{<\mathbb{Q}}$ is countable, we can fix an enumeration for its non zero elements, say $(\alpha_n)_n$. Given $X \in \mathbb{B}$, let

$$K_{Z(X)^*} = \left\{ z^* \in B_{\ell_\infty} \mid \exists f \in B_{Z(X)^*} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ z_n^* = \frac{f(\alpha_n \times (e_j^X))}{\|\alpha_n \times (e_j^X)\|_{Z(X)}} \right\} \subset B_{\ell_\infty}.$$

Thus, $K_{Z(X)^*}$ is a coding for the unit ball $B_{Z(X)^*}$, and it is easy to check that $K_{Z(X)^*} \equiv B_{Z(X)^*}$. Indeed, this follows from the same arguments as when we proved that $L_{X^{**}} \equiv B_{[K_{X^*}]^*}$, right before Lemma 11.

Define $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{B} \times B_{\ell_\infty}$ by

$$(X, z^*) \in \mathbb{D} \Leftrightarrow z^* \in K_{Z(X)^*}.$$

Then $\mathbb{D}$ is Borel. Indeed, we only need to notice that

$$(X, z^*) \in \mathbb{D} \Leftrightarrow \forall \alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_\ell \in \mathbb{Q}^{<\mathbb{Q}} \forall p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$$
$$p\alpha_n \times (e_j^X) + q\alpha_m \times (e_j^X) = \alpha_\ell \times (e_j^X)$$
$$\to p z_n^* \|\alpha_n \times (e_j^X)\|_{Z(X)} + q z_m^* \|\alpha_m \times (e_j^X)\|_{Z(X)} = z_\ell^* \|\alpha_\ell \times (e_j^X)\|_{Z(X)},$$

so, by Lemma 18, and Lemma 19, $\mathbb{D}$ is Borel. Theorem 8 gives us the following.

Lemma 20. *Assume $\mathbb{B} \subset SD$ is Borel. The map*

$$X \in \mathbb{B} \mapsto K_{Z(X)^*} \in \mathcal{K}(B_{\ell_\infty})$$

is Borel. Moreover, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$, there exists an onto isometry $i_X : K_{Z(X)^*} \to B_{Z(X)^*}$ such that, if $f = i_X(z^*)$, then $z_n^* = f(\alpha_n \times (e_j^X))/\|\alpha_n \times (e_j^X)\|_{Z(X)}$.

The following lemmas will play the same role Lemma 14, Lemma 15, and Lemma 17 played in the previous section.

Lemma 21. *Say $\mathbb{B} \subset SD$ is Borel, and let i_X be as in Lemma 20. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{(X, n, z^*) \in \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{N} \times B_{\ell_\infty} \mid z^* \in K_{Z(X)^*}\}$. Define $\alpha : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ as*

$$\alpha(X, n, z^*) = \langle i_X(z^*), \alpha_n \times (e_j^X) \rangle,$$

for each $(X, n, z^*) \in \mathbb{A}$. Then, $\mathbb{A}$ is a Borel set, and α a Borel map.

Notice that, for all $(X, n, z^*) \in \mathbb{A}$, we have $\alpha(X, n, z^*) = z_n^* \|\alpha_n \times (e_j^X)\|_{Z(X)}$. The proof of this lemma is analogous to the proof of Lemma 14.

The inclusion $\tau_X : X \to Z(X)$ is an embedding, therefore, for each $x \in X$, there exists a sequence $(\alpha_{n_k} \times (e_j^X))_k$ converging to x in $Z(X)$. With this observation in mind we have the following.

Lemma 22. *Let $\mathbb{B} \subset SD$ be Borel, and let i_X be as in Lemma 20. Set $\mathbb{A}' = \{(X, x, z^*) \in \mathbb{B} \times C(\Delta) \times B_{\ell_\infty} \mid x \in X, z^* \in K_{Z(X)^*}\}$, and define $\alpha' : \mathbb{A}' \to \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$\alpha'(X, x, z^*) = \langle i_X(z^*), x \rangle.$$

for each $(X, x, z^*) \in \mathbb{A}'$. Then, $\mathbb{A}'$ is a Borel set, and α' a Borel map.

Notice that, when we write "$\langle i_X(z^*), x\rangle$", we are thinking of x as an element of $Z(X)$ in order for this to make sense. For each $(X, x, z^*) \in \mathbb{A}'$, we have $\alpha'(X, x, z^*) = \lim_k z^*_{n_k} \|\alpha_{n_k} \times (e_j^X)\|_{Z(X)}$, where $\alpha_{n_k} \times (e_j^X) \to x$ in $Z(X)$. Hence, the proof of this lemma is also analogous to the proof of Lemma 14.

We now prove the main theorem of this subsection.

Theorem 23. *Let $\mathbb{B} \subset SD$ be Borel, and let $\mathbb{E} = \{(X, x) \in \mathbb{B} \times C(\Delta) \mid x \in X\}$. There are Borel maps*

$$\sigma : \mathbb{B} \to C(\Delta)^{\mathbb{N}} \quad and \quad \varphi : \mathbb{E} \to C(\Delta)$$

such that, by setting $\varphi_X = \varphi(X, \cdot)$, we have that, for each $X \in \mathbb{B}$,

(i) $\sigma(X)$ is a normalized shrinking basic sequence, and
(ii) $Im(\varphi_X) \subset \overline{span}\{\sigma(X)\}$ and $\varphi_X : X \to \overline{span}\{\sigma(X)\}$ is a 9-embedding.

Proof. Let $H : \mathcal{K}(B_{\ell_\infty}) \to C(\Delta, B_{\ell_\infty})$ be given by Lemma 13, and let α and α' be given by Lemma 21, and Lemma 22, respectively. Fix $(n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_{n_k} \times (e_j^X) = e_k^X$ (notice that this does not depend on X). For each $X \in \mathbb{B}$, let

$$\sigma(X) = \left(\alpha(X, n_k, H(K_{Z(X)^*})(\cdot))\right)_k.$$

By Lemma 21, and as H and $X \mapsto K_{Z(X)^*}$ are Borel, it is clear that σ is Borel. Also, $\sigma(X)$ is equivalent to the $(e_k^X)_k$, so $\sigma(X)$ is normalized and shrinking. Indeed, we can define a map on $Z(X)$ by letting

$$\alpha_n \times (e_j^X) \in Z(X) \mapsto \alpha(X, n, H(K_{Z(X)^*})(\cdot)) \in \overline{span}\{\sigma(X)\},$$

and extending it to the entire $Z(X)$, making it continuous. This map is clearly surjective. Also, as $H(K_{Z(X)^*}) : \Delta \to K_{Z(X)^*}$, and $i_X : K_{Z(X)^*} \to B_{Z(X)^*}$ are surjective, we have that

$$\langle i_X(H(K_{Z(X)^*})(y)), x_1 - x_2\rangle = 0, \quad \forall y \in \Delta,$$

can only happen if $x_1 = x_2$. So, by the definition of α, this map is a bijection. Again by the definition of α, we have

$$\|\alpha_n \times (e_j^X)\|_{Z(X)} = \sup_{y \in \Delta} |\alpha(X, n, H(K_{Z(X)^*})(y))|,$$

hence, this map defines a surjective isometry between $Z(X)$ and $\overline{span}\{\sigma(X)\}$, and the basis (e_k^X) is sent to

$$\left(\alpha(X, n_k, H(K_{Z(X)^*})(\cdot))\right)_k = \sigma(X).$$

Therefore, $\sigma(X)$ is indeed 1-equivalent to the $(e_k^X)_k$.

Let $\varphi(X, x) = \alpha'(X, x, H(K_{Z(X)^*})(\cdot))$, for all $(X, x) \in \mathbb{E}$. Lemma 22 gives us that φ is Borel. Notice that φ_X is the composition of $\tau_X : X \subset C(\Delta) \to Z(X)$ with the isometry

$$Z(X) \to \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\sigma(X)\}$$

described above. Therefore, as the inclusion $\tau_X : X \subset C(\Delta) \to Z(X)$ is a 9-embedding, we have that φ_X is a 9-embedding, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$. $\qquad\square$

4.2. **Embedding a Borel set of bases into a single space with a shrinking bases.** In [AD], Argyros and Dodos showed that if $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathrm{SD}$ is analytic and X has a shrinking Schauder basis, for all $X \in \mathbb{A}$, then $\mathbb{A}$ can be embedded into a single $Z \in \mathrm{SD}$, i.e., there exists $Z \in \mathrm{SD}$ such that $X \hookrightarrow Z$, for all $X \in \mathbb{A}$. In this section, we will follow Argyros and Dodos' method in order to embed $\mathbb{A}$ into a single $Z \in \mathrm{SD}$ in a *Borel* manner.

Let $bs = \{(f_n) \in S_{C(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid (f_n) \text{ is a basic sequence}\}$. It is easy to see that the set of basic sequences bs is Borel in $C(\Delta)^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Let us recall Schechtman's construction of Pelczynski's universal space (see [Sc]). Let (d_n) be a dense sequence in the unit sphere of $C(\Delta)$. For each $s = (n_1, ..., n_k) \in [\mathbb{N}]^{<\mathbb{N}}$, let $m_s = n_k$. For each $s \in [\mathbb{N}]^{<\mathbb{N}}$, let $g_s = d_{m_s}$. The universal Pelczynski space U is defined as the completion of $c_{00}([\mathbb{N}]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ under the norm

$$\|x\|_U = \sup\{\|\sum_{s \in I} x_s g_s\| \mid I \text{ is a segment of } [\mathbb{N}]^{<\mathbb{N}}\},$$

for all $x = (x_s) \in c_{00}([\mathbb{N}]^{<\mathbb{N}})$. By taking an isometric copy of U inside of $C(\Delta)$, we can assume $U \subset C(\Delta)$. Fix a bijection $\varphi : [\mathbb{N}]^{<\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that if $s_1 \prec s_2$ then $\varphi(s_1) < \varphi(s_2)$. It is easy to see that $(g_{\varphi^{-1}(n)})_n$ is a bases for U.

This construction of U gives us that if $(f_k) \in S_{C(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a basic sequence and (d_{n_k}) is a subsequence of (d_n) close enough to (f_n), then $(f_k)_k \sim (g_{(n_1, ..., n_k)})_k$. More precisely, if (f_k) has basic constant K, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and $\|f_k - d_{n_k}\| < 2^{-k}\theta\|f_k\|/2K$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the principle of small perturbation gives us that (see [AK], Theorem 1.3.9)

(i) $(f_k)_k \sim (g_{(n_1, ..., n_k)})_k$, and
(ii) the isomorphism between $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{f_k\} \subset C(\Delta)$ and $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{g_{(n_1, ..., n_k)}\} \subset U$, given by $f_k \mapsto g_{(n_1, ..., n_k)}$, is an $\frac{1+\theta}{1-\theta}$-isomorphism.

Fix $\theta > 0$. Let us define a function $b_\theta : bs \to [\mathbb{N}]^{\mathbb{N}}$. For this, given any basic sequence $(f_n) \in S_{C(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with basic constant K, we produce a subsequence of (d_n) as follows. Say $n_1 < ... < n_k$ had been chosen. Let n_{k+1} be the first natural number such that $n_{k+1} > n_k$ and

$$\|f_{k+1} - d_{n_{k+1}}\| < \frac{2^{-(k+1)}}{2K}\theta\|f_{k+1}\|.$$

The map $k : bs \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ that assigns to each basic sequence its basic constant is Borel, indeed, given $b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(f_n) \in \{(g_n) \in bs \mid k((g_n)_n) < b\} \iff \exists r \in (-\infty, b) \cap \mathbb{Q} \; \forall a_1, ..., a_m \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\forall k \leqslant m \;\; \|\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i f_i\| \leqslant r \|\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i f_i\|.$$

Therefore, it should be clear that, for any fixed $\theta > 0$, the function $b_\theta : bs \to [\mathbb{N}]^\mathbb{N}$ described in the previous paragraph is Borel. Also, we should keep in mind that, if $b_\theta((f_k)_k) = (n_k)_k$, the isomorphism between $\overline{\text{span}}\{f_k\}$ and $\overline{\text{span}}\{g_{(n_1,...,n_k)}\} \subset U$ is given by $f_k \mapsto g_{(n_1,...,n_k)}$.

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset [\mathbb{N}]^\mathbb{N}$ be the standard coding for shrinking basic subsequences of the bases of U, i.e., we define $\mathcal{S}$ by

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(n_k)_k \in [\mathbb{N}]^\mathbb{N} \mid (g_{(n_1,...,n_k)})_k \in U^\mathbb{N} \text{ is shrinking}\}.$$

It is known that $\mathcal{S}$ is a coanalytic set (see for example [D1], Section 2.5.3).

We now follow Argyros and Dodos' approach. Fix $\theta \in (0,1)$. Let $\mathbb{B} \subset \text{SD}$ be Borel, and σ be as in Theorem 23. Let $\xi_\theta = b_\theta \circ \sigma : \mathbb{B} \to [\mathbb{N}]^\mathbb{N}$, so $\xi_\theta(\mathbb{B}) \subset [\mathbb{N}]^\mathbb{N}$ is analytic, and $\xi_\theta(\mathbb{B}) \subset \mathcal{S}$. As $\mathcal{S}$ is coanalytic, Lusin's separation theorem gives us a Borel set A such that $\xi_\theta(\mathbb{B}) \subset A \subset \mathcal{S}$ (see [Ke], Theorem 14.7). Therefore, there exists a pruned tree T on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, such that the projection $p([T]) = \{\sigma \in [\mathbb{N}]^\mathbb{N} \mid \exists \tau \; (\sigma, \tau) \in [T]\}$ equals A (see [D1], Theorem 1.6). For each $t = (s, v) = ((s_1, ..., s_k), (v_1, ..., v_k)) \in (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})^{<\mathbb{N}}$, let $x_t = g_{(s_1,...,s_k)}$.

Let $U' = \overline{\text{span}}\{x_t \mid t \in T\}$, and $T' = T \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. So $(U', \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, T', (x_t)_{t \in T'})$ is a Schauder tree basis (see the last paragraphs of Section 2 for definitions).

Let Z be the ℓ_2-Baire sum of the Schauder tree basis $(U', \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, T', (x_t)_{t \in T'})$. Then, by Theorem 7, $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ is a shrinking bases for Z. In particular, $Z \in \text{SD}$.

We have the following trivial lemma.

Lemma 24. *Let $\gamma : \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \to Z^\mathbb{N}$ be defined by $\gamma((n_k)_k) = (g_{(n_1,...,n_k)})_k$. Then γ is continuous, hence Borel.*

Before proving Theorem 5 let us prove one more lemma. For each $X \in \text{SB}$, we let $bs(X) = \{(f_n) \in S_X^\mathbb{N} \mid (f_n) \text{ is basic}\}$. So $bs(X)$ is Borel.

Lemma 25. *Say $Y, Z \in \text{SB}$. Let*

$$\mathbb{A} = \{((f_n), (g_n), x) \in bs(Y) \times bs(Z) \times Y \mid (f_n) \sim (g_n)\}.$$

For each basic sequences $(f_n) \in bs(Y)$ and $(g_n) \in bs(Z)$, denote by $I_{f,g}$ the linear map such that $f_n \mapsto g_n$. Then, $\mathbb{A}$ is Borel and the map

$$((f_n), (g_n), x) \in \mathbb{A} \mapsto I_{f,g}(x) \in Z$$

is Borel.

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{A}$ is Borel. In order to see that this map is Borel, first notice that if $C = \{((f_n), (g_n)) \in bs(Y) \times bs(Z) \mid (f_n) \sim (g_n)\}$ then

$$((f_n), (g_n)) \in C \mapsto \|I_{f,g}\| \in \mathbb{R}$$

is Borel. Indeed, say $b \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$((f_n),(g_n)) \in \{((f_n),(g_n)) \in C \mid \|I_{f,g}\| < b\} \iff \exists r \in (-\infty, b) \cap \mathbb{Q} \; \forall a_1, ..., a_m \in \mathbb{Q}$$
$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i f_i\| \leqslant r \|\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i g_i\|.$$

Let $U \subset C(\Delta)$ be an open ball open. Then

$$I_{f,g}(x) \in U \neq \emptyset \iff \exists \delta \exists a_1, ..., a_n \; \|x - \sum a_i f_i\|_X < \frac{\delta}{\|I_{f,g}\|}$$
$$\wedge \left(\forall m \|d_m(Z) - \sum a_i g_i\|_Z < \delta \right) \to d_m(Z) \in U,$$

and we are done. $\qquad\square$

Proof. **(of theorem 5)** Let $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathrm{SD}$ be Borel. Fix $\theta \in (0,1)$. Let Z be the ℓ_2-Baire sum described in the discussion preceeding Lemma 24. Let σ and φ be as in Theorem 23, and b_θ and γ be as above. Let $\chi_\theta = \gamma \circ b_\theta \circ \sigma$, and define, for each $(X, x) \in \mathbb{E}$,

$$\psi(X, x) = I_{\sigma(X), \chi_\theta(X)}(\varphi(X, x)).$$

Notice that, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$, the sequence $\sigma(X)$ is equivalent to $\chi_\theta(X)$, so $I_{\sigma(X), \chi_\theta(X)}$ is well defined. By Lemma 25 and the fact that σ, φ and χ_θ are Borel, we have that ψ is Borel. By Theorem 23, we have that $\varphi_X : X \to \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{\sigma(X)\}$ is a 9-embedding, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$. By the construction of χ_θ, we have that

$$I_{\sigma(X), \chi_\theta(X)} : \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{\sigma(X)\} \to Z$$

is an $\frac{1+\theta}{1-\theta}$-embedding, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$. Hence, by choosing θ small enough, ψ_X is a 10-embedding, for all $X \in \mathbb{B}$.

For each $X \in \mathbb{B}$, define $\Psi(X) = \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{\psi_X(d_n(X)) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is clear that Ψ is Borel, and that it has the desired properties. $\qquad\square$

References

[AK] F. Albiac, and N. J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach Space Theory,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 233, Springer, New York, 2006.

[AD] S. Argyros, P. Dodos, *Genericity and amalgamation of classes of Banach spaces,* Adv. Math., **209** (2007), 666-748.

[B] B. Bossard, *An ordinal version of some applications of the classical interpolation theorem,* Fund. Math. **152** (1997), 55-74.

[D1] P. Dodos, *Banach Spaces and Descriptive Set Theory: Selected Topics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1993, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[D2] P. Dodos, *Definability under Duality,* Houston Journal of Mathematics, **36** (2010), 781-792

[DF] P. Dodos and V. Ferenczi, *Some strongly bounded classes of Banach spaces*, Fund. Math., **193** (2007), 171-179.

[DFJP] W. J. Davis, T. Fiegel, W. B. Johnson and A. Pellczynski, *Factoring weakly compact operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **17** (1974), 311-327.

[Ke] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[S] Th. Schlumprecht, *Notes on Descriptive Set Theory, and Applications to Banach Spaces*, Class notes for Reading Course in Spring/Summer 2008.

[Sc] G. Schechtman, *On Pelczynski's paper "Universal bases",* Israel J. Math., **22** (1975), 181-184.

[Z] M. Zippin, *Banach spaces with separable duals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **310** (1988) no. 1, 371 - 379

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE (M/C 249), UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, 851 S. MORGAN ST., CHICAGO, IL 60607-7045, USA

E-mail address: demendoncabraga@gmail.com